Status	Public overview — first edition
Prepared by	Open Systems Foundation · Gaia Commons
Audience	Funders, partners, ecosystem allies, and prospective adopters
Date	May 2026

GaiaOps

Making the commons buildout effective

Operational infrastructure for the regenerative movement — the platform that lets teams, working groups, organizations, and communities coordinate as one living system, while remaining sovereign in their own right.

1. The leverage point

A regenerative civilization needs coordination infrastructure built for it. Without that infrastructure, the work of building it does not scale.

The regenerative movement has more aligned people, more capital, more good ideas, and more genuinely useful technology than ever before. What it does not have is a coherent operational substrate that lets those people coordinate at scale, across teams and across organizations, without the work dispersing as soon as the meeting ends. Most coordination tools available today were built for conventional companies. They optimize for within-team operations inside bounded organizations. They do not handle distributed working groups across many initiatives, progressive onboarding from interest to leadership, multi-role participation across many projects, or the federation of sovereign partners cooperating without any one party owning the others.

GaiaOps is built for exactly that. It is the platform that takes the work the commons is already doing — working groups in formation, candidate partner conversations, capital coming in, decisions being made, agreements being signed, projects being run — and gives it a coherent operational backbone that scales as the movement grows.

The leverage point is straightforward. The same hour of human work, the same dollar of capital, the same conversation in a meeting produces dramatically more durable output when there is operational infrastructure that captures it, routes it, tracks it, and turns it into the next step. Without that infrastructure, every initiative reinvents its own coordination, every relationship dissipates between conversations, and every commitment lives only in someone’s head until it falls through. With it, the commons buildout becomes effective.

Concretely

> A working group convenes to coordinate a regenerative agriculture initiative across four bioregions. They have nine members across five organizations. Without operational infrastructure, they will spend three weeks of every quarter just rediscovering what they decided, who is doing what, and what has changed since they last met. With GaiaOps, those three weeks become productive work — because the operational memory, the task tracking, the agreement library, the meeting capture, and the cross-organization access are all already in place.

The proposition of this document is that GaiaOps is a near-term, buildable platform that solves this coordination problem for the regenerative movement, and that doing so unlocks a much larger trajectory — toward GaiaOS, an operating system for a regenerative economy that integrates supply chains, governance, trust verification, and commons-grade coordination at planetary scale.

2. What GaiaOps is

GaiaOps is a multi-tenant operations platform built for commons-aligned coordination. In plain terms, it is the operational software a team, working group, organization, or community uses to actually run the work of building together.

It does what existing collaboration tools do — task tracking, document libraries, meeting notes, calendar management, agreements and signing, member directories, working group spaces — and adds the things existing tools do not. It treats people as multi-role participants across many initiatives rather than single-team members. It supports onboarding people gradually rather than all-at-once. It captures operational memory automatically from meetings, messages, and decisions, with AI proposing and humans confirming. It tracks not just what people say they will do, but what they actually deliver, in a way that supports personal development rather than punishing failure. It composes with other commons-aligned infrastructure rather than locking participants in. And it does all of this through a coherent permission model that lets the same person operate across many teams, many organizations, and many tiers of commitment without losing context.

What teams get	How it works in practice
Coordinated workspaces	Each working group, project, and team has its own space with the right permissions — documents, tasks, meetings, agreements, calendar — all integrated.
Gradated onboarding	New members enter at low-commitment tiers and progress as they engage. Permissions, documents, and responsibilities expand as their tier rises.
Operational memory	Meetings produce summaries, decisions, and proposed tasks automatically. Humans confirm; the system executes. Nothing important falls through.

What teams get	How it works in practice
Personal accountability	Each person sees their own commitments and delivery patterns. The system supports growth in self-awareness about capacity, without becoming a surveillance tool.
Cross-team coordination	The same person can participate across many teams. Their work, agreements, and credentials follow them. Teams coordinate on shared projects without merging.
Composable architecture	GaiaOps integrates with adjacent infrastructure for identity, governance, decision-making, and trust verification, rather than reinventing those layers.

GaiaOps is open in its architecture, commons-owned in its structure, and designed to be used by anyone whose work serves regenerative or commons-aligned outcomes. The Gaia Commons is its first and most important user. From there, it serves PASEOs, CPVs, partner foundations, candidate consortium companies, aligned communities, and ordinary organizations that benefit from the same coordination architecture.

3. Why the commons needs this

The Gaia Commons is a distributed organism. It has working groups in different countries, capital flowing through multiple legal vehicles, candidate companies entering the consortium, donors and investors participating at different levels, partner foundations cooperating on shared initiatives, and contributors operating across many tracks of engagement at once. None of this fits inside a single conventional collaboration tool.

Current operations rely on scattered documents, ad-hoc messaging, spreadsheets shared by email, calendars on five different platforms, and personal memory carrying continuity between conversations. This works at small scale. It begins to fail as the commons grows. Without coordination infrastructure designed for distributed operation, scaling the commons means scaling the dispersion of effort in proportion. The number of dropped follow-ups, lost relationships, forgotten decisions, and missed opportunities grows faster than the value created.

The commons needs operational infrastructure for a different organizational form than the form most tools assume. Specifically:

- **Coordination across boundaries by default.** Most tools are built for within-team coordination, with external sharing as an afterthought. GaiaOps inverts this — cross-organization, cross-working-group coordination is the default mode.
- **Progressive commitment as a structural feature.** People enter the commons at different depths of engagement. Someone may sign up to receive updates one month and be co-leading a working group six months later. The platform supports this gradation natively.

- **Multi-role participation.** A single person may be a donor in one context, a contributor in another, a steward in a third, and a reviewer in a fourth. Each role unlocks different surfaces and responsibilities. The platform handles this without confusion.
- **Operational memory that survives turnover.** Distributed teams cannot afford to lose context every time someone steps away. The platform captures and retains operational memory so the work continues.
- **Federation rather than centralization.** The commons is not one entity. It is many sovereign initiatives that cooperate. The platform federates them — it does not absorb them.

GaiaOps is being built because nothing else does this. The commons can have all the capital, ideas, and aligned people in the world; without coordination infrastructure built for its shape, it will not scale.

4. What it does for teams

This section describes what a team using GaiaOps actually experiences. It is the operational reality, not the abstraction.

4.1 The team workspace

Every team — a working group, a project team, a board, a committee, a steward circle — gets a coordinated workspace. The workspace contains:

- A member directory with roles, tiers, and relationships visible to those with permission to see them.
- A document library with version control, comments, and tracked edits, integrated with collaborative editing.
- A task board where work is organized, assigned, prioritized, and tracked through to completion.
- A meeting space with scheduling, recording, transcript capture, and automatic generation of summaries and action items.
- An agreement library where signed agreements live in read-only form, organized by context and participant.
- A calendar that integrates with personal calendars and surfaces team-wide commitments.
- A notification stream that surfaces what each member needs to act on, filtered by their permissions and preferences.

4.2 Gradated onboarding into the team

Joining a team is not a binary event. Someone might first signal interest, then receive introductory materials, then attend a meeting, then sign a contributor agreement, then take on a defined role, then become a working group steward. Each step expands what they can see, what they can do, and what is expected of them.

> **Example — joining a regenerative finance working group**
>
> A prospective contributor signals interest via a short form. They are added at Tier 1 (informed). They receive an introductory document, an invitation to a public conversation, and are added to a low-traffic update channel. After attending

two conversations, they are invited to Tier 2 (engaged), which opens additional documents, conversations, and the option to apply for Tier 3 (contributing). At Tier 3 they sign a contributor agreement, gain access to working documents, are assigned to a project sub-team, and receive structured tasks. Each transition is supported by the platform — the right documents appear, the right introductions are made, the right agreements are presented for signing, the right tasks are routed.

What this solves: bringing people into the commons in a way that respects their actual pace of engagement, while still moving them productively toward higher participation. Without this, teams either gate entry (which loses momentum) or fully open access (which loses focus). Gradated onboarding gets both.

4.3 The meeting-to-memory pipeline

A defining feature of GaiaOps is how meetings become durable operational memory. The pipeline is short and the safeguards are strong.

When a team meets, a meeting agent attends — with the consent of participants — and produces a transcript and recording. AI processes the transcript into a summary, a list of decisions made, open questions, identified risks, and proposed tasks with suggested owners and dates. None of these are silently executed. They appear as confirmation cards to the relevant participants. Each person confirms, edits, or rejects what was proposed. Once confirmed, tasks become real work items in the team's project board, documents get updated, decisions enter the team's decision log, and follow-ups appear in calendars.

Example — a 90-minute strategy meeting

After the meeting ends, each participant receives a summary card showing: "Decisions made (3), Tasks suggested for you (2), Documents to review (1), Follow-up meetings proposed (1)." They confirm what was correctly captured, edit one task description, reject one proposed follow-up, and add a missing decision the AI did not catch. Within five minutes of confirmation, all approved items are in the relevant places. The team's meeting log shows the full structured outcome. The work continues.

4.4 The agreement and signing layer

Agreements are the connective tissue of cooperation. GaiaOps treats agreements as first-class objects: drafted in a familiar editor, reviewed with comments and tracked changes, finalized to PDF, signed through an appropriate signing provider, and stored read-only in the agreement libraries of everyone involved. The agreement library is searchable, filterable, and serves as proof-of-relationship between parties.

Signing providers are matched to the assurance level required. Default cases use a free, open-source signing app integrated into the platform. High-assurance contracts — fund subscriptions, IP buyback agreements, regulated instruments — route to qualified providers. The platform manages the routing; the user experiences a smooth flow.

5. AI workflows for productivity and accountability

GaiaOps integrates AI throughout, with a consistent design pattern: AI proposes, humans confirm, the system executes. This pattern shows up in three different layers, and together they produce a step-change in both productivity and accountability.

5.1 The operational AI assistant

Each user has access to an AI assistant that operates in the context of their permissioned reality. The assistant can be reached through the platform, through chat apps like WhatsApp or Signal, through email, or by voice memo. It can:

- Find a document, summarize it, and prepare comments.
- Schedule a meeting, propose times that fit several calendars, and send invitations after confirmation.
- Update a task's status, reassign it, or break it into sub-tasks.
- Add a contact, log a conversation, draft a follow-up message.
- Pull together the context a user needs for an upcoming meeting or decision.

The assistant operates only within the user's permitted context. It never silently executes consequential actions. The pattern is consistent: ask for what you need, the assistant proposes, you confirm, the system acts.

5.2 The personal accountability layer

Each user has a private personal layer that tracks what they commit to and what they deliver. Heavyweight commitments — signed agreements, formal role acceptances — are recorded. Lightweight commitments — task acceptances, agreements made in meetings, follow-up promises — are also recorded. Over time, this produces a feedback layer that helps each person understand their own working patterns.

The framing is informative, not punitive. It is acceptable to commit less and deliver more. The system supports calibration rather than penalizing under-delivery. When a user is about to accept a new round of commitments, the system can surface relevant historical data — not as a gate, but as a mirror.

> **Example — the mirror, not the gate**
>
> "You are about to accept five tasks this week. Your average completion rate at this load has been around 60%. Consider whether a tapered approach would serve better — or whether this is a week you intend to rise to the occasion." The user

decides. They might commit to all five and deliver. They might choose three and deliver well. Either is fine. Over time, the loop helps the user see themselves more accurately, narrow the gap between their self-image and their operational reality, and grow as a contributor.

5.3 The team and system matching layer

As individual accountability data accumulates across many actors, the platform develops the ability to support better matching of people to projects. When a project lead is looking for a contributor with specific skills, working style, or capacity, the platform can suggest matches with reasoning. Productive capacity at different loads, estimation accuracy, types of work where someone consistently delivers, collaboration patterns with different kinds of teams — all of this becomes part of the matching capability.

This is suggestion, not assignment. Project leads see candidates with context; they decide. The accuracy of the matching improves over time, which means projects increasingly get the people most likely to succeed at them, which means projects succeed more often, which means the commons gets stronger.

What this layer unlocks

Personal feedback that supports growth instead of judgment. Teams that can rely on each other with informed expectations. A commons-wide capability to match contributors to projects where they will thrive. And a structural foundation that becomes richer as adjacent partner projects — typology frameworks, deep psyche assessments, mission-alignment markers — contribute their own dimensions of insight.

6. Cross-team dynamics and collective work

The commons is not one team. It is many teams that cooperate. GaiaOps is built for this from the ground up, not as a feature added later.

6.1 Multi-team participation

A single person can be a member of any number of teams, with different roles in each. Their personal dashboard aggregates everything across teams: pending tasks, document review requests, agreements awaiting signature, meeting invitations, decisions that need their input. Switching between team contexts is fluid, much like switching between workspaces in a familiar collaboration tool, but with stronger continuity of identity and credentials.

6.2 Cross-team projects

When multiple teams work together on a shared initiative — say, two working groups collaborating on a candidate-company application, or three partner organizations co-funding a regenerative project — the

platform supports a cross-team project space. Each contributing team retains its own internal coordination; the shared project space is the place where coordination across teams happens. Permissions are configurable so that each team can decide what its members can see and contribute on the shared project, without exposing internal team operations.

> **Example — a three-organization land project**
>
> A land trust, a regenerative agriculture consultancy, and a foundation working on rural economic development are co-creating a land stewardship initiative. Each has its own internal team space on GaiaOps. The shared project space pulls together the people, documents, agreements, and tasks that span all three. Decisions made in the shared space cascade back to each team's own internal coordination. Funds flow through one organization but accountability for outcomes is shared across all three. The platform makes this practical without any organization losing its sovereignty.

6.3 Cross-instance and cross-platform coordination

Beyond the multi-team case sits multi-instance coordination: when different commons-aligned organizations each run their own GaiaOps deployment, but their members and projects sometimes intersect. The platform is being built to support this through portable identity and credentials — a person's work history, agreements, and trust attestations follow them across instances. The credential infrastructure that makes this real is described in Section 7.

7. Pre-planned integrations and what they unlock

GaiaOps is designed to compose with adjacent infrastructure built by partner projects — not to rebuild every layer in-house. Several integrations are planned from the start. Each one extends what GaiaOps can do without expanding its core scope, and each one strengthens the broader ecosystem rather than capturing it.

7.1 Project Weave — the trust backbone of the human internet

Project Weave is funding the completion and interoperability of the trust backbone: portable cryptographic identity (decentralized identifiers), verifiable credentials attached to that identity, and trust registries that let credentials be verified across platforms. The protocols are open standards on the IETF and W3C standards path. Implementations are in active development by several teams — Open Wallet Foundation, the Berkman Center at Harvard, Affinidi, the First Person Project under the Linux Foundation's Trust over IP working groups.

GaiaOps integrates with this trust backbone as a primary infrastructure relationship. The integration is opt-in for each user. When a user attaches a wallet to their GaiaOps account, every meaningful event in their participation — joining a working group, taking on a role,

completing a task, fulfilling an agreement, building hours in a domain of expertise — can be issued as a verifiable credential to their wallet. They own these credentials. They travel with the person.

What this unlocks

Work history that belongs to the person, not the platform. A contributor who has built credentials in GaiaOps can present them when joining another commons-aligned organization, applying for funded work, or operating across multiple instances. Conversely, someone arriving at GaiaOps from another platform can present credentials they already hold, and the platform can verify them through the trust registry. The commons paradigm becomes structurally possible at scale: people bring their history in, they take their history out, and what they contribute is recognized across the movement rather than locked inside a silo.

7.2 Governance and decision protocols

GaiaOps focuses on operationalization — turning decisions into action, tracking commitments, coordinating work. The decision-making layer itself is the work of specialized governance protocols, and GaiaOps is being built to compose with several of them as they mature.

- **IXO PODs —** organizational units with built-in governance and decentralized decision rights. PODs can serve as the governance layer for working groups, projects, or larger organizational units. Through integration, a working group in GaiaOps can have a corresponding POD that holds its governance and decision authority, with operational follow-through running on GaiaOps.
- **Hypha DHOs —** distributed holonic organizations with governance, role management, and resource allocation. A holonic structure of working groups can have corresponding DHOs whose governance and shared resources are visible at every nested level. Operational work continues to happen in GaiaOps; governance traceability is provided by DHOs.

What this unlocks

The organizational unit — a working group, a project, a PASEO, a CPV — becomes a governance-traced operational environment. Decisions made by the governance protocol cascade into operational consequences on GaiaOps. Operational realities feed back into governance for adjustment. Token holders, members, or stewards can see both what was decided and what was done, with the connection between them durable and auditable.

7.3 Decision-making engines and consciousness layers

A decision is more than a vote. It is a synthesis of perspectives, an awareness of patterns and biases, a discernment between alternatives, and a commitment that survives contact with reality. Several projects

are building infrastructure for the cognitive and consciousness dimensions of decision-making, and GaiaOps is being built to compose with them.

- **Hy and The Core (Teresa Zimmerman et al.) —** a typology-based framework that surfaces unconscious patterns, identity-driven strategies, and personal agendas before they drive a decision unchecked. The mirror layer that protects against automating shadow logic at higher speed.
- **Intelligent decision engines (David Thomson et al.) —** AI council orchestration under explicit epistemic scaffolding: bias surfacing, adversarial counterargument, auditable reasoning trails with alternatives considered, reasons each was de-emphasized, and risk profile. The cognitive layer that helps humans see what they might miss.
- **Operational recipe execution (PlayNET, Rüzgar Imski) —** converts a decision into a recipe with measurement points, runs it, and feeds tensions back when reality diverges from expectation.
- **Collaboration Commerce (Iris Co-Creative, The Holomovement) —** frameworks for agreement between sovereign partners, including the collaboration compact instrument that captures consensus on values, outcomes, responsibilities, and conflict resolution pathways.

What this unlocks

A decision made through a sophisticated cognitive engine becomes a set of confirmation cards in GaiaOps for the relevant participants, with the full reasoning trail preserved in the audit layer. A recipe produced by PlayNET becomes a set of tracked tasks with measurement points. A collaboration compact lives in the agreement library, signed and tracked alongside other agreements. Mirror-layer typology assessments plug into the personal accountability layer to enrich matching. GaiaOps provides the operational ground. Adjacent partners provide the depth in their respective domains. Each contributes what only it can contribute.

7.4 The composition philosophy

Across all of these integrations, the philosophy is the same: GaiaOps focuses on operationalization. The commons benefits when adjacent specialized projects do their work well — when Project Weave funds the trust backbone, when IXO builds governance protocols, when Hypha builds holonic organizational structures, when Hy builds consciousness layers, when intelligent decision engines mature. The role of GaiaOps is to compose with all of these, not to compete with any of them. Over time, as GaiaOps matures into GaiaOS, the federation work — federating sovereign partner projects into a coherent consortium — becomes part of the larger platform's scope. The architectural decisions today are made with that horizon in mind.

8. From GaiaOps to GaiaOS

GaiaOps is not the final destination. It is the operations-stage instantiation of a larger ambition called GaiaOS. The two are not different platforms. GaiaOps is GaiaOS at an earlier, scoped stage. Every workflow, vertical template, and integration pattern built for GaiaOps composes forward into GaiaOS.

The trajectory has internal logic. The operations layer must exist first because everything else depends on operational reality. Once teams coordinate, decisions become actions, agreements live in libraries, and credentials accumulate, the larger capabilities of GaiaOS become buildable.

GaiaOps does	GaiaOS adds, on the same foundation
Cross-team and cross-organization coordination	Federation of adjacent commons-aligned projects into a coherent consortium
Multi-tenant deployment within and beyond the commons	Portable identity across instances and partner projects
Operational memory, tasks, agreements, meetings	Supply chain tracing, digital twins of resources and flows
Personal accountability and capacity development	Sophisticated decision-making integrated across the consortium
Composition with adjacent infrastructure	Smart contracts between organizations across the network
Trust backbone integration for credential portability	Decentralized identity layer with self-sovereign portability
AI workflows with human confirmation	AI as integration intelligence across the regenerative economy
Working group and project coordination	Cross-scale, cross-bioregion coordination of regenerative work

Two key features of this trajectory deserve emphasis. First, each phase delivers value to the actors using it. There is no leap of faith between GaiaOps and GaiaOS. A team using GaiaOps to coordinate its work today gets real operational value today, regardless of what comes later. Second, the architecture is consistent from inception. The decisions that make GaiaOps work are the same decisions that allow GaiaOS to grow from it. Nothing built early needs to be thrown away later.

9. Self-referential design — the platform building itself

A distinctive feature of GaiaOps is that the platform is being used to build itself. The team coordinating the development of GaiaOps is one

of the platform's first users. The working groups developing the commons infrastructure run on GaiaOps. The crowdfunder that capitalizes the platform is coordinated through GaiaOps. Investors entering through the crowdfunder use GaiaOps to track their participation. Candidate companies applying to the consortium apply through GaiaOps. The recoverable grants supporting the build flow through entities that themselves operate on GaiaOps.

This is not symbolic. It is structural. It produces several practical advantages.

- The proof is operational, not promised. Funders can use the platform to evaluate the platform.
- The team building it experiences the friction first. Every difficulty is felt by the people most able to fix it.
- Every component built has at least one demanding user from day one.
- Edge cases are discovered in our own work, not in a customer's.
- The platform's thesis — that commons-grade coordination is operationally valuable — is tested continuously on the highest-stakes coordination work we do.

> **Concretely**
>
> The conversation that led to a section of this document happened in a meeting that was captured by GaiaOps. The follow-up tasks for editing, review, and publication are tracked in GaiaOps. The agreements between team members about contribution rights live in GaiaOps. The decisions about prioritization are recorded in GaiaOps. By the time you read this, the workflow that produced it has demonstrated itself.

10. Beyond Gaia Commons — B2B and other communities

GaiaOps is built first for the Gaia Commons. It is also, by design, useful to any organization that benefits from the same coordination architecture. This is a meaningful expansion of the platform's reach and an important part of its sustainability model.

10.1 Commons-aligned organizations and partner foundations

Foundations, networks, federations, and movement organizations face the same coordination challenges the Gaia Commons faces, often in slightly different forms. They serve members across geographies, run working groups, coordinate grantees, manage relationships with partners and donors, and need operational memory that survives staff turnover. GaiaOps serves these organizations natively. They pay commons-aligned pricing — cost-plus rather than full SaaS — because the platform is, in part, theirs. The Open Systems Foundation's own operations run on GaiaOps. Partner foundations and aligned networks are among the natural early tenants.

10.2 PASEOs, CPVs, and project-specific funded work

Beyond entire organizations, GaiaOps serves specific organizational units within them. A PASEO operating its internal mission. A CPV managing its stakeholders and operations. A specific project funded with allocated capital from a parent vehicle. Each uses the platform the same way — onboarding their own members, running their own working groups, capturing their own agreements, tracking their own tasks and meetings.

When funding is allocated to a specific project, the platform contributes the operational accountability layer: what people committed to do with the allocated funds, what they actually did, what timelines were honored, what reasoning supported decisions. The traceability of the money flows themselves is handled by integration with specialized financial systems — blockchain providers, smart-contract distribution mechanisms, fund accounting. GaiaOps focuses on the operational accountability around those flows.

10.3 Aligned communities and ordinary organizations

Beyond commons-purpose organizations sits a much larger pool of teams that are not explicitly commons-aligned but face the same coordination problems. Distributed teams in growing companies. Networks of mission-driven organizations. Federations of independent practitioners. Communities of practice that span institutions. All of these face cross-team coordination, multi-role participation, and progressive engagement, and most of them are poorly served by existing tools.

GaiaOps serves them at full SaaS-tier pricing. They get a coordination platform that works better for their shape than mainstream alternatives. Some of them, having experienced the architecture in practice, become candidates for deeper participation in the commons paradigm. The platform is the most concrete on-ramp the commons has to broader adoption.

> **What this unlocks for the commons**
>
> Multi-tenant revenue that sustains and expands the platform. A user base that exposes the platform to many use cases, strengthening it for everyone. A natural funnel of organizations and teams discovering the commons paradigm through operational experience rather than ideological conversion. And, as transferable trust credentials mature, a person who builds work history at one tenant can carry it to another — making the commons operationally borderless.

11. The architecture of ownership

GaiaOps is held by a commons-purpose legal structure. This is one of the most important features of the platform and the foundation of the investment proposition. Understanding it requires a brief tour of the legal architecture.

11.1 GaiaOps as an LVC software seed

GaiaOps itself — the platform, its code, its operational infrastructure — is held within a Liechtenstein Venture Cooperative (LVC). The LVC is a specific commons-purpose legal form recognized under Liechtenstein law. It is a non-equity cooperative structure designed to hold assets in service of a defined purpose rather than to generate ownership for shareholders.

Practically, this means the platform is not owned by founders, investors, or a corporate parent. It is held by the LVC, governed in service of its commons purpose, and structurally protected from the dynamics that drive conventional software companies toward extractive monetization. The platform serves participants, not shareholders.

11.2 The CPV model for GaiaOS

The LVC is the Commons Purpose Vehicle (CPV) for GaiaOps. As GaiaOps matures into GaiaOS and the broader consortium forms, the CPV model expands. The GaiaOS LVC becomes the host vehicle for the consortium that federates adjacent commons-aligned projects: candidate companies entering the consortium, partner infrastructure projects, sovereign initiatives that compose into the larger operating system. Each remains sovereign in its own right. The CPV is the structure that lets them cooperate without any of them owning the others.

Above the CPV sits the federated trust layer of the Asset Stewardship Architecture, which holds stewarded IP and assets across multiple CPVs as the architecture matures. The structure is intentionally layered — the platform is held by the CPV; the CPV holds stewarded assets in its scope; the federated trust layer holds the broader stewardship across all CPVs. The architecture is designed for the commons paradigm, not retrofitted into conventional structures.

11.3 What this means for investors and funders

Capital entering GaiaOps does not buy equity in a software company. It funds the build of a commons-purpose platform held by a commons-purpose vehicle. The investment instruments are designed accordingly:

- **For GaiaOps specifically,** capital enters as recoverable grants — donations that are eligible for repayment from platform revenue as it grows. Optionally, returns may be capped at a defined multiple, after which the platform's value compounds in the commons rather than continuing to flow back to investors.
- **For the broader GaiaOS consortium,** capital enters through the crowdfunder via the GaiaOS LVC, with regulatory pathways set up for both retail (Reg CF) and accredited investors. The instrument acquired is a commons participation token, not equity. Revenue from the platform flows back to token holders through a smart-contract waterfall after operational costs and senior obligations are serviced.

The investment thesis is articulated in the next section.

12. Investment structure

The investment proposition has three components: the near-term GaiaOps software seed, the medium-term capped revenue returns to early funders, and the long-term GaiaOS consortium via the crowdfunder. Each is structured to align capital with the commons purpose rather than with extractive dynamics.

12.1 Recoverable grants for the GaiaOps software seed

The near-term funding need is the build of GaiaOps itself. The Open Systems Foundation accepts donations into a charitable structure that issues recoverable grants to the GaiaOps LVC. These grants fund the platform build and are eligible for repayment from platform revenue as it matures.

How a recoverable grant works in this context

A donor commits capital to the Open Systems Foundation, which holds the funds in its charitable structure. The Foundation issues a recoverable grant to the GaiaOps LVC under an agreement that defines the repayment terms. As GaiaOps generates revenue — through its commercial tenants, multi-tenant SaaS pricing, partner foundation engagements, and consortium platform value — the LVC repays the grant to the Foundation, which in turn returns the funds to the donor. The donor receives their original contribution back, and may also receive a capped return as defined in the agreement. The funds, having been repaid, are then available for the next cycle of regenerative investment.

The structural advantages are significant. Donors retain the original tax-deductibility of the gift (since the initial transfer is a charitable donation), the platform receives funding without diluting its commons-purpose ownership, and capital can be redeployed multiple times — each cycle of recovery makes the next investment possible. The mechanism is well-established in regenerative finance and adapts cleanly to the GaiaOps case.

12.2 Capped revenue returns

Where capped returns are offered, they function as follows. The recoverable grant agreement defines a return multiple — for example, 1.5x or 2x of the original contribution — after which no further returns are owed and the platform's value compounds in the commons. This protects against the dynamics that drive conventional software companies to maximize extraction over time. Once the cap is met, the platform's economic upside flows to participants, contributors, and the broader commons rather than to original funders.

Why the cap matters

Conventional software equity has no cap. As a platform grows, the return to early equity holders compounds indefinitely —

which is the engine of the extractive software economy. The capped return resets this incentive. Early funders are rewarded for taking risk at the seed stage; once their reward is fulfilled, the platform belongs structurally to its participants. The cap is what makes a commons-purpose platform durably commons-purpose.

12.3 The GaiaOS consortium and the crowdfunder

Beyond GaiaOps the software, the broader GaiaOS consortium is capitalized through a different instrument. The GaiaOS LVC is structured to receive capital from a regulated crowdfunder — a Reg CF raise of up to approximately $1.25M via a pre-approved platform, run in partnership with Hank Holiday. The instrument acquired is the GaiaOS commons participation token, which gives the holder a structured share of platform revenue through the smart-contract distribution waterfall.

The crowdfunder allows retail participants to fund the consortium and share in its operational success. It is intentionally accessible — the commons should be capitalizable by the people whose lives it serves, not only by accredited investors.

Beyond the crowdfunder sits a parallel pathway for accredited investors entering through a US fund that holds GaiaOS LVC tokens. This capacity, sized in the tens of millions, is intended to fund the buyback mechanism through which candidate companies enter the consortium with their IP migrated into commons stewardship. The two pathways are complementary: the crowdfunder establishes broad participation; the accredited pathway provides the scale of capital required for the consortium’s acquisitive function.

12.4 The "Reverse BlackRock" framing

Across all three capital flows — recoverable grants to GaiaOps, capped revenue returns to early funders, crowdfunder participation in GaiaOS — the same structural principle holds. Capital is invited into a system where its growth serves the expansion of the commons rather than the extraction of value from it. Returns are real but bounded. The platform’s value compounds in the commons over time. The instrument is reversed from the extractive default of the modern financial system: instead of capital allocating to extract returns from productive economies, capital allocates to fund the commons infrastructure that productive economies depend on, with returns flowing back at structured rates and at bounded scales.

This is the proposition that aligned funders, foundations, and investors are increasingly looking for: a way to put capital to work in service of regenerative outcomes, with real returns, real rigor, and a structural relationship to the commons that endures beyond the lifecycle of any single fund.

13. The invitation

GaiaOps is being built now because it is needed now, and because the convergence of available technology, aligned capital, ready partners, and the maturing regenerative movement makes this the right moment. The platform exists in working form, with its first modules in production with the Darttek team. The Open Systems Foundation has the legal architecture in place. Recoverable grants are being issued. The first paying client is in operation. The consortium pipeline is being prepared. The integrations with Project Weave, the governance protocols, and the decision-making infrastructure are being shaped.

What we are inviting is participation in making this real at the speed and depth the moment allows. There are several ways to participate:

- **As a funder,** through recoverable grants to the GaiaOps build via the Open Systems Foundation, or through the GaiaOS consortium crowdfunder when it opens.
- **As a partner,** through deployment of GaiaOps for your own organization's coordination needs, with cost-aligned pricing for commons-aligned organizations.
- **As a contributor,** through working group participation, technical contribution, governance design, or other forms of engagement appropriate to your skills and capacity.
- **As an aligned project,** through integration discussions about how your work composes with GaiaOps and what the partnership could unlock for both projects.
- **As a candidate organization,** through the consortium application pipeline as it opens.

The commons is built by the people who show up to build it. GaiaOps is one of the instruments that makes their work effective. The leverage point is real, the architecture is in place, and the platform is operating. What remains is to do the work — and that, fortunately, is exactly what GaiaOps is for.

A closing thought

A regenerative civilization is not a single thing built by a single organization. It is a coordinated emergence of many sovereign initiatives that cooperate, federate, share infrastructure, and compose into something larger than any of them alone. The platform that makes this coordination operationally real is the platform that lets the work of the regenerative movement actually scale. GaiaOps is that platform, in its near-term, scoped, buildable form. GaiaOS is what it grows into. The arc is continuous, the architecture is consistent, and the moment is now.

—

For conversations about partnership, funding, or integration, contact the Open Systems Foundation.